

Housing Provider's Report

ROSSITER COURT - Report on the views of the residents

Findings of a consultation of residents, involving them in small groups as well as individually in a card game designed to stimulate discussion and help capture satisfaction ratings on design, services and well-being.

Commissioned by: **Another Housing Association**
 77-83 Mulberry Lane
 Anytown
 Anyshire
 HH27 7PL

Produced by: **EAC**
 3rd Floor
 89 Albert Embankment
 London SE1 7TP
 020 7820 3755
www.HousingCare.org

Contents

ROSSITER COURT - Report on the views of the residents	1
Introduction	2
SUMMARY Scheme Star Ratings	4
DETAIL Scheme Star Ratings	5
Appendix A - The Awards data, facts, figures and findings.....	7
Appendix B – Card Game’s Rules	11
Appendix C - PARTICIPATION MADE EASY	12
Scheme Details from EAC’s www.housingcare.org website	Attached



Photographs by Sue Hendry, Hawthorn Mill, Connect Housing

Introduction

The consultation

The consultation method was developed initially to encourage nominations for EAC's National Housing for Older People Awards, and has been further refined to provide useful information to housing providers on how their facilities and services are perceived by their residents.

The consultation involves residents of retirement housing in an enjoyable card game during which they are asked to discuss a number of positive *statements*, and then to agree on a score reflecting how well their scheme lives up to the statement. Participants are also given the opportunity to score some *statements* privately, without being influenced by other players.

For more information on the rules of the game, see Appendix B.

Staff are encouraged to facilitate the consultation, but are not present during the game; nor do they see the score sheets which are returned directly to EAC in a sealed Freepost envelope. The consultation pack contains instructions on how volunteers, friends and family can assist less able residents; particularly in extra care schemes (see Appendix C).

EAC's independence, and the way the consultation has been devised, should promote participation and honesty. The consultation adds a valuable new source of information to that gained by providers from traditional customer satisfaction surveys.

The Reports

Type A - Housing Provider Reports

EAC's new Housing Provider Reports are available for all schemes nominated for the 2011 Awards. They provide a detailed 'star rated' picture of residents' views of their scheme along with comparisons with national and regional performance. They will be of particular interest for schemes which did not reach the finals.

Each report contains a short introduction about the consultation, the card game and the methodology. It provides more detail than that used to select Housing Awards winners, summarising residents' responses under 5 main topics divided into areas of interest (see opposite page), and detailing how they scored each card's statement, providing helpful pointers to specific issues or concerns.

Housing Provider Reports are available only to scheme landlords and managers. Their content will not be divulged to other housing providers, competitors or any other parties.

Download a sample report from the Housing Awards section of our website www.HousingCare.org



Type B - EAC Resident Consultation Service

This is a bespoke service available to all housing providers who want to use EAC's card game consultation tool to canvass residents' views independently of the National Awards.

The tool has proved itself well over the last two years, and whilst playing the card game may be less exciting for the participants when divorced from the Awards, the results may prove more reliable without the temptation to inflate scores in order to win!

Our service includes:

- providing consultation packs (the card game)
- training and support for scheme managers and other facilitators
- advice on encouraging resident participation
- collecting and analysing consultation returns
- supplying a detailed, confidential Provider Report

Contact Alex Billeter for more information: 020 7820 1682 / alex.billeter@eac.org.uk

Methodology

The data captured from the consultation process is imported into a newly designed database, which allows us to analyse the residents' responses from many angles. We can compare one scheme's results against those of the hundreds of schemes which took part in our Housing Awards¹. The database is also linked with EAC's *National Database of Housing for Older People* as well as externally sourced data; we can therefore investigate correlations between the residents' responses and numerous facts on the schemes and their locations.

The report highlights those areas where your scheme performs well, or not so well, as judged by its residents. It also compares your scheme's ratings with those of similar schemes in the region and in the UK as a whole - drawing on information from EAC's *National Database*.

On the playing cards, 28 statements are grouped under 4 colour-coded topics: *Where we live – My home – Services – Lifestyle*. The *Detailed Scores* section of this report gives the residents' ratings for each card, providing helpful pointers to specific issues or concerns.

The Summary Ratings for your scheme result from distilling down residents' views into 5 topic areas instead of the 4 card topics. We have split the 1st topic 'Where we live' into 2 topics 'Location' and 'Scheme/Development'; we have also renamed the 2nd topics 'My home' as 'Individual apartments'. We have then focussed on 18 areas of interest, by combining the ratings of the relevant cards.

This combination and analysis of scores provides an overall assessment of well-being, or quality of life, and mirrors the process used to select the Awards finalists.

Appendix A explores broader correlations, across all providers, between residents' views and specific scheme features.

Appendix D shows the information held on your scheme in the *National Database of Housing for Older People*.

¹ This year's awards captured the views of 3,517 residents from 402 schemes managed by 162 organisations in all sectors. Participation was representative of the geographic distribution of schemes as well as a good balance of rural and urban schemes.

SUMMARY Scheme Star Ratings

Organisation: Another Housing Association
 Scheme: Rossiter Court
 Address: 77-83 Mulberry Lane
 Anytown
 HH27 7PL

Region: South East
 Location: Urban (Less Sparse)
 Number of Residents: 27 (Estimate)
 Residents participating: 19% (Estimate)

	Scheme Score	Region Rank	Region Average	UK-wide Rank	UK-wide Average
Overall rating	★★★★☆	32nd of 37	★★★★☆	283rd of 319	★★★★☆
Location	★★★★☆	31st of 37	★★★★☆	258th of 319	★★★★☆
Convenient	★★★★★	1st of 37	★★★★★	1st of 319	★★★★★
Safety and security	★★★☆☆	33rd of 37	★★★★☆	263rd of 314	★★★★☆
Building	★★★★☆	30th of 37	★★★★☆	274th of 319	★★★★☆
Facilities		N/A of 35	★★★★☆	N/A of 304	★★★★☆
Design	★★★☆☆	31st of 37	★★★★☆	272nd of 319	★★★★☆
Garden		N/A of 34	★★★★☆	N/A of 308	★★★★☆
Individual apartments	★★★★☆	30th of 36	★★★★☆	273rd of 318	★★★★☆
Space standards	★★★☆☆	34th of 36	★★★★☆	291st of 313	★★★★☆
Design	★★★★☆	23rd of 36	★★★★☆	204th of 318	★★★★☆
Practicality	★★★★☆	22nd of 36	★★★★☆	208th of 317	★★★★☆
Services	★★★★☆	26th of 37	★★★★☆	242nd of 319	★★★★☆
Maintenance	★★★☆☆	21st of 37	★★★★☆	227th of 318	★★★★☆
Consultation	★★★☆☆	36th of 36	★★★★☆	293rd of 316	★★★★☆
Staff role and interaction	★★★★☆	25th of 37	★★★★☆	217th of 319	★★★★☆
Lifestyle	★★★★☆	32nd of 37	★★★★☆	284th of 319	★★★★☆
Community spirit, friendship	★★★★☆	26th of 37	★★★★☆	233rd of 319	★★★★☆
Privacy	★★★☆☆	35th of 36	★★★★☆	301st of 313	★★★★☆
Activities and outings	★★★☆☆	32nd of 37	★★★★☆	276th of 319	★★★★☆
Link with community at large	★★★☆☆	28th of 37	★★★★☆	260th of 318	★★★★☆

Star ratings: ★ - Poor to ★★★★★ - Excellent

Green indicates a result in the top 10% of schemes in the UK,

Red indicates a result in the bottom 10%.

An n/a indicates that the relevant card statements were not scored by the residents.

DETAIL Scheme Star Ratings

Scheme: Rossiter Court

Survey results: Analysis by individual card statement.

Report on the responses to each individual statements, within the 'Home', 'Lifestyle', 'Services' and 'Where we live' topics.

Category: Where we live	Scheme	Region		UK-wide	
	Score	Rank	Average	Rank	Average
Our building is very well located; very convenient for the shops, for walks and for public transport	★★★★	1st of 37	★★★★☆	1st of 317	★★★★☆
Our building is very well designed and easy to get around	★☆☆☆☆	37th of 37	★★★★☆	309th of 317	★★★★☆
The size and design of the communal lounge(s) meet our expectations		n/a of 35	★★★★☆	n/a of 302	★★★★☆
Our garden is pleasant and easy to enjoy		n/a of 34	★★★★☆	n/a of 306	★★★★☆
Our neighbourhood feels very safe	★★★★☆	33rd of 37	★★★★☆	261st of 312	★★★★☆
Our communal lounge(s) is a pleasure to use	★★★★☆	31st of 36	★★★★☆	253rd of 299	★★★★☆
This building makes one proud to live in it	★★★★☆	25th of 36	★★★★☆	213rd of 311	★★★★☆
Category: Home	Scheme	Region		UK-wide	
	Score	Rank	Average	Rank	Average
My home is very well laid out; it is very practical	★★★★	1st of 36	★★★★☆	1st of 315	★★★★☆
My kitchen is very well laid out, nicely lit and ventilated, and is easy to use	★☆☆☆☆	31st of 34	★★★☆☆	288th of 304	★★★☆☆
My bathroom is pleasant and well ventilated	★★★★	1st of 36	★★★★☆	1st of 312	★★★★☆
I like the approach to my front door; it feels welcoming	★★☆☆☆	28th of 35	★★★★☆	268th of 313	★★★★☆
In my home I have got all the space I need	★★☆☆☆	34th of 36	★★★★☆	289th of 311	★★★★☆
My home gets plenty of natural light	★★★★☆	15th of 36	★★★★☆	146th of 311	★★★★☆
I have a good view from my home; there is always something interesting to look at	★★★★☆	24th of 36	★★★★☆	189th of 309	★★★★☆

Star ratings: ★ - Poor to ★★★★★ - Excellent

Green indicate a result in the top 10% of schemes in the UK,

Red indicate a result in the bottom 10%.

An 'n/a' indicates that the relevant card statements were not scored by the residents.

DETAIL Scheme Star Ratings

Scheme: Rossiter Court

Survey results: Analysis by individual card statement.

Report on the responses to each individual statements, within the 'Home', 'Lifestyle', 'Services' and 'Where we live' topics.

Category: Services	Scheme	Region		UK-wide	
	Score	Rank	Average	Rank	Average
All communal rooms, halls and corridors are well looked after	★★★★	1st of 37	★★★★☆	1st of 310	★★★★☆
We can rely on the staff for advice and information	★★★★	1st of 37	★★★★☆	1st of 315	★★★★☆
We are consulted when it matters, and our views are taken into account	★☆☆☆	36th of 36	★★★★☆	291st of 314	★★★★☆
It is very easy to get repairs and maintenance done by the management	★★☆☆	26th of 37	★★★★☆	252nd of 316	★★★★☆
I get all the support I need from the staff	★★★★	14th of 37	★★★★☆	151st of 312	★★★★☆
The community alarm gives me all the peace of mind I need	★★★☆☆	34th of 37	★★★★☆	292nd of 311	★★★★☆
The presence of the staff contributes to my well being	★★★☆☆	27th of 36	★★★★☆	248th of 309	★★★★☆

Category: Lifestyle	Scheme	Region		UK-wide	
	Score	Rank	Average	Rank	Average
For those who look for it, there is a good social life - a good community spirit	★★☆☆	33rd of 37	★★★★☆	271st of 314	★★★★☆
A good range of social activities, events, entertainment and classes are available	★☆☆☆	32nd of 37	★★★★☆	271st of 310	★★★★☆
We have lots of opportunities to go on outings	★★☆☆	23rd of 37	★★★★☆	216th of 315	★★★★☆
We feel we are part of the wider local community	★☆☆☆	28th of 37	★★★★☆	258th of 316	★★★★☆
This is a good place to make new friends	★★★★☆	19th of 37	★★★★☆	186th of 311	★★★★☆
I enjoy taking part in the social activities and outings on offer	★★★☆☆	30th of 37	★★★★☆	254th of 312	★★★★☆
This is a place where you can choose to live very privately and to 'join in' when you wish	★★★☆☆	35th of 36	★★★★☆	299th of 311	★★★★☆

Star ratings: ★ - Poor to ★★★★★ - Excellent

Green indicate a result in the top 10% of schemes in the UK,

Red indicate a result in the bottom 10%.

An 'n/a' indicates that the relevant card statements were not scored by the residents.

Appendix A - The Awards data, facts, figures and findings

Introduction

The 2010/11 survey was a great success with 3,517 individuals taking part, 64% up on last year. A huge thank you to everyone who completed the survey and helped promote the Awards this year.

As the number of returns increases this really helps us to better understand what drives residence satisfaction. For example looking at the effect of scheme size, distance to amenities, building type, services provided along with many other factors.

We are already looking forward to the 2011/12 survey when we are aiming at a further increase in submissions.

About the entries

The Nominations were from

- 402 Schemes, of which 320 were retirement housing schemes and 82 housing-with-care schemes
- 3,517 Individuals in 857 groups.

Nominated schemes by geographic distribution:

	England	Scotland	Wales	Northern Ireland
RH	279	23	11	7
HwC	72	1	6	3
Total	351	24	17	10

RH – Retirement Housing, HwC – Housing-with- Care

Happily this distribution reflects the distribution of the older UK population.

We received entries from a diverse range of urban, suburban and rural location; from the Highlands of Scotland to heavily populated areas of London.

Within England 43 counties were represented with over 20 scheme nominations: 28 from the West Midlands, 25 from Greater London and 23 from Shropshire. Scotland was represented by 11 counties including 8 submissions from Dumfries &

Galloway. Wales was represented by 9 council areas with 5 submissions from Swansea; and Northern Ireland by 5 counties including 5 submissions from Londonderry.

327 of the schemes provided some form of rented accommodation, 58 some type of ownership and 17 schemes provided both types.

The 402 schemes were managed by 162 landlords and management companies. Private sector managers contributed 39 schemes while 363 schemes were from the public and voluntary sectors. The private sector was therefore slightly over-represented with 9.7% of nominated schemes, when they actually account for over 8.8% of schemes UK wide. The percentage of leasehold schemes nominated reflects exactly their share of the market at 15%.

Schemes were nominated on average by 22% of their residents. We calculated this by comparing the number of score sheets returned from one scheme to its estimated population held on our National Database of Housing for Older People . We look forward to an even larger residents' participation to strengthen the value of our findings.

The card game

The nomination card game asked the residents to say to what extent they agreed with the statement on each of the 28 cards. Some cards asked them discuss a statement between them before giving it an agree score on the Group Score Sheet. Other cards were not to be discussed, but scored privately by each on their own Individual Score Sheets.

Analysing the entries

Schemes were separated into 2 main groups: retirement housing and housing-with-care and

then placed in 11 categories, mainly according to size in order to compare like with like.

The scores were allocated a value, Yes = 4, Mostly = 3, Partly = 2 and No = 1. The number of participating residents in proportion to the total number of residents in a scheme was taken into account throughout and not just as a tie-breaker;

a higher participation rate giving greater weight to the scores.

We examined the scores across the four topic areas - the 4 card colours 'Where we live', 'My home', 'Services' and 'Lifestyle'. Also regrouped some card which informed us on various areas of interest.

We selected the 8 to 10 top schemes in each category. We then checked this data with the paper score sheets, and selected the highest ranking schemes, making sure that no more than one award was given to a scheme.

Scores – overall

In the next table we have split the 1st topic 'Where we live' into 2 topics 'Location' and 'Scheme / Development'; we have also renamed the 2nd topics 'My home' as 'Individual apartments'. We have then focussed on 18 areas of interest, by combining the ratings of the relevant cards.

The average scores across the UK are consistently ★★★★★ for the 5 topics. The UK-wide average ratings by the residents are just short of excellent, giving a very positive view of life in retirement housing and housing-with-care – just what we wish to celebrate.

UK-wide Scores

Description	Retirement Housing	Housing with Care
Overall rating	★★★★★	★★★★★
Location	★★★★★	★★★★★
Convenient	★★★★★	★★★★★
Safety and security	★★★★★	★★★★★
Scheme / Development	★★★★★	★★★★★

Facilities	★★★★★	★★★★★
Design	★★★★★	★★★★★
Garden	★★★★★	★★★★★
Individual apartments	★★★★★	★★★★★
Space standards	★★★★☆	★★★★★
Design	★★★★★	★★★★★
Practicality	★★★★★	★★★★★
Services	★★★★★	★★★★★
Maintenance (retirement hsg)	★★★★★	
Consultation	★★★★☆	★★★★★
Staff role and interaction	★★★★★	★★★★★
Meals (housing-with-care)		★★★★☆
Care services (housing-with-care)		★★★★★
Management (housing-with-care)		★★★★★
Lifestyle	★★★★★	★★★★★
Community spirit, friendship	★★★★★	★★★★★
Privacy	★★★★★	★★★★★
Activities and outings	★★★★★	★★★★★
Link with community at large	★★★★☆	★★★★★

Star rating: ★☆☆☆☆ – Poor to ★★★★★ – Excellent

All the areas of interest scored ★★★★★, the exceptions being:

Retirement Housing

- ★★★★☆ - for Space standards
- ★★★★☆ - for Consultation
- ★★★★☆ - for Link with community at large

Housing with Care

- ★★★★★ - for Staff role and interaction
- ★★★★☆ - for Meals
- ★★★★☆ - for Link with community at large

On the whole the data received for the Awards gives a definite thumb-up to specialist housing for later life, and we have to search fairly deep to find ratings less than positive.

However we must still bear in mind three caveats:

1. It is worth noting that a large majority of the entries were from schemes with a dedicated scheme manager, and we can

speculate that they might well have played an important role in promoting the Awards and helping residents to organise nomination.

2. The scheme managers who were less confident about their chances for an award may not have promoted the nomination game very actively.
3. It also seems fairly evident that many residents cannot resist the temptation to inflate their score to increase their chance of winning an award for their scheme.

Some contrasting 'findings'

Examining the scores given to single card statements we can analyse the specific areas of concern to the residents; these can be hidden when the statements are combined into more general topics. Here are a few examples.

Lifestyle

'We have lots of opportunities to go on outings'

Less than 50% of residents were able to answer 'yes' to this statement, with significant numbers answering 'No' or 'Partly'

'We feel part of the wider local community'

This was another statement with responses below average; 41% of retirement housing and 33% of housing-with-care residents responding 'No' or 'Partly'.

'This is a place where you can choose to live very privately and to 'join in when you wish'

This statement received consistently the highest score with 92% of both retirement housing and housing-with-care schemes responding 'Yes'.

'For those who look for it, there is a good social life – a good community spirit' and 'This is a good place to make new friends'

Both statements scored well with retirement housing and housing-with-care schemes.

Services

'We are consulted when it matters, and our views are taken into account'

This is an area where a large minority, 29% of retirement housing residents and 20% of housing-with-care residents answered 'No' or 'Partly'

'The meals provided in the dining room/restaurant are excellent'

In housing-with-care schemes this statement receive a mixed response with only 37% responding yes and 22% 'Partly' or 'No'.

'The staff are always helpful and respectful'

98% of housing-with-care residents answered 'Yes' or 'Mostly' to this question.

Correlations

EAC's *National Database of Housing for Older People* holds a vast amount to data on some 26,000 retirement and housing-with-care schemes in the UK. We can therefore look for correlations between what the residents say and the factual information EAC has on their scheme, e.g. its type, size, location, its tenure, main features, distance to amenities, etc.

While over 3,500 individual participating in the awards is a fantastic achievement, this only represents about 22% of the residents in the participating schemes and is a small percentage of residents in UK schemes as a whole. We must therefore be cautious when allocating statistical significance to our analysis and findings. As a result we are only highlighting areas with strong trends or differences. As participation increases we will be able to comment on many more areas with confidence.

Scheme size

While scheme size did not have a significant effect on total scheme score, we did see that larger retirement housing schemes have on average noticeably higher 'Lifestyle' topic scores. For both retirement housing and housing-with-care we see a

strong link between larger schemes and higher scores for the 'community spirit', 'activities' and 'outing' statements.

Larger schemes scored lower for a number of the card statements. We found a significant drop in scores for the 'repairs and maintenance' statement for retirement housing schemes. For housing-with-care schemes we found significant drops in the scores for the 'Kitchen' and 'Bathroom' statements as well as the 'Services' topic as a whole.

'We are consulted when it matters, and our views are taken into account'

This statement was generally scored fairly high. On closer inspection however, the larger schemes performed less well than the smaller ones.

Distance to amenities

This examined distance to bus stop, local shop, post office, GP surgery and town centre/shopping centre.

Schemes that were closer to a bus stop, a GP surgery and a local shop scored noticeably higher overall than schemes that were further away

The proximity to a bus stop has a greater effect on the overall scores than the proximity to a town centre, a shopping centre, or a post office. Next in importance after the bus stop, was the GP surgery followed by the local shop.

'We feel we are part of the wider local community'

The distance to bus stop had a big influence on how this statement was scored.

Location type

'We feel we are part of the wider local community',

'We are consulted when it matters, and our views are taken into account'

'Our neighbourhood feels very safe'

Rural schemes scored better than those in urban or suburban locations for these three statements.

Residents Associations

We did not detect much difference between the scores for schemes with a residents association and those without; however the statement **'A good range of social activities, events, entertainment and classes are available'** scored significantly higher for schemes with a residents association.

Restaurant / Dining room

'The meals provided in the dining room / restaurant are excellent' scored high for schemes with a restaurant open to the public.

Hobby room

Schemes with a hobby room had on average a higher overall rating than schemes without.

They gave a very high score to the statement **'A good range of social activities, events, entertainment and classes are available'**.

Other correlations

The correlations reported above are just a few selected examples. We could analyse many others, although that task is far more rewarding when focussing on one a scheme in which a majority of residents are playing the game. This is what we propose to do with our Providers Reports – see below.

Conclusion

The nominations by 3517 residents and the consistently high scores they gave to their schemes confirm our belief that sheltered housing, retirement housing and housing-with-care deserve to be celebrated and promoted as an option of choice for many in later life.

Appendix B – Card Game’s Rules

EAC Housing for Older People Awards 2011

- If you doubt that your scheme will win an award, this game gives you the opportunity to tell us why
- Please do not inflate your scores just to get an award for your scheme; accurate scores are far more helpful
- Members of the staff are encouraged to facilitate the game, but should keep out of earshot and not see the score sheets

RULES – how to play

1. 3 to 6 residents (players) at a table (ideally 4).
2. Each player picks up one Individual Score Sheet
3. One player undertakes to also complete one Group Score Sheet. Use only one Group Score Sheet for your group
4. **Important:** shuffle the cards.
5. One player picks up a card from the top of the pack, reads aloud the statement on the card, and passes it around if necessary.
6. If the card is a **Discuss** card, the player opens a short discussion (1 to 2 minutes) to get agreement on which response to tick on the Group Score Sheet.
7. If the card is a **Do not discuss** card, all the players tick their own response on their Individual Score Sheet, without discussing it or showing it to the other players.
8. The card is then discarded.
9. It is then the turn of the next player, moving clockwise, to pick up the next card, to read aloud the statement, and so on...
10. The consultation is over when all the cards have been played.
11. By agreement, the players can decide to restart the game, to continue it later, or to play it again.
12. On the Group Score Sheet and all Individual Score Sheets, write an invented name for your group. Add the name of your scheme (Court or building) and its address or postcode.
13. Put all the Score Sheets in the Freepost envelope, seal it, write your scheme address at the back of the envelope and post it.
14. Other groups can use the cards and the additional score sheets, but must do so under a different invented Group’s name.

Appendix C - PARTICIPATION MADE EASY



Residents

- Playing these cards can be a very enjoyable experience.
- The rules of the 'game' are at the back of each score sheet. We have made them as clear as possible; even so additional help is at hand:

Somebody else can assist set up and play the game

- a friend
- a relative
- a neighbour
- a volunteer

The Manager or Warden (if there is one)

- She/he will explain the rules and be available during the game.
- However she/he must keep out of hearing while the game is played, and should not see any of the score sheets.
- Instructions for Managers/Wardens are overleaf

Friends, relatives, volunteers

Your assistance can be crucial to help residents to participate in these awards, and to take this opportunity to make their voice heard. You can help in many different ways:

- by remaining neutral and not influencing the participants
- by setting up the table, and serving tea or refreshments
- by sitting with the residents while they play and giving a hand whenever necessary
- by explaining the rules
- by handing out the Individual Score Sheets
- by taking charge of the Group Score Sheet

Managers, Wardens

You and your organisation stand to win and to learn from the results. Your help is crucial: you can obtain the nomination pack, inform your residents about the awards and encourage them to participate

As the process involves discussions about the facilities, services, the residents should feel totally confident that what they say and what they enter on the scoring sheets is not overheard or seen by the staff.

This does not mean you cannot offer practical support, such as

- set up a room for one or several groups, with tea and refreshments
- or advise that the game can be played in a residents' flat
- tell the residents in advance that they can be assisted by a relative, a friend or a volunteer
- Ask visitors, relatives or volunteers to help a group through the game
- explain the rules to the residents and be available if more explanation is needed, but keep yourself out of the hearing of the residents
- make sure that the residents get familiar with the cards and the rules well in advance of playing the game. The game, the nomination, can be restarted, postponed or continued on a different day.
- We trust that you will find many ways of helping the residents while remaining neutral.

For more advice before or during the game, phone EAC on 020 7820 3755 or email housingawards@eac.org.uk





Rossiter Court

77-83 Mulberry Lane, Anytown, Anyshire. [View on a map](#)

Manager: Another Housing Association Ltd, 3rd Floor, 89 Albert Embankment, London SE1 7TP

Telephone: 020 7820 1682.



Manager:



More info

- [Map](#)
- [Aims](#)
- [Buildings](#)
- [Services](#)
- [Residents](#)
- [Mgt/costs](#)

Update

- [Update info](#)
- [Add vacancies](#)

Type(s):	Housing with support, Extra care housing.
Properties:	77 flats, bungalows. Sizes 1bed, 2bed, 3bed. Built in 2005.
Services:	Extra Care scheme with on-site care staff, resident management staff (24 hours, 7 days).
Facilities:	Lift, lounge, dining room, laundry, guest facilities, garden, conservatory, hobby room.
Accessibility:	Access to site easy. Distances: bus stop 50 yards; shop 100 yards; post office 100 yards; town centre 100 yards; GP 250 yards; social centre 150 yards.
Lifestyle:	social activities include various, organised by the residents and staff. Some meals available. New residents accepted from 65 years of age. Both cats & dogs accepted (not to be replaced).
Tenure:	Rent (social landlord) and Shared Ownership.
Cost:	From £82.00 per week excl. service charge at Feb 2011.
Manager's notes:	<p>The aim of Rossiter Court is to create a lively, balanced community including active independent residents as well as those requiring a high degree of support.</p> <p>Rossiter Court supports those with high, medium and low dependency needs, aiming to see about a third of people in each group.</p> <p>In order to ensure the financial viability of our on-site care service, all new residents must show a need for a minimum of 4 hours personal care a week to enable them to live independently.</p> <p>NOTE: This is a fictitious scheme.</p>

Info updated: 14/02/2011.

Properties available

Relet(s): A two bedroom 2nd floor apartment at £97.65 pwk inclusive. Contact Our Lettings Team on 0800 7820 1682. (Notified 14/02/2011).

Re-sale(s): A one bedroom bungalow at £46,000 for 50% share; rent of £37 pwk also payable, plus service charge of £26.50 pwk. Contact Our Sales Team on 0800 7820 1683. (Notified 14/02/2011).

Additional resources

Photos:

